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| **Project details:** Has all appropriate information been included?  (Investigator details and project title, protocol version number and date) | | Choose an item. |
| **Research question:** Is there a clearly and precisely defined, answerable question?  Is there a clear aim or objective? | | Choose an item. |
| **Background:** Is the research question an important one? Does the background information provided five a good rationale for why the project is being done? Is the study useful to clinical practice, health service deliver or health outcomes?  Is there a real problem/ knowledge gap that needs filling? | | Choose an item. |
| **Plan of investigation:** | | |
| **1** | **Design:** is the design appropriate to the aim? Will the study address the question being asked and is it likely to produce an answer? | Choose an item. |
| **2** | **Bias and confounding:** Has the study been designed to minimise the risk of bias? Have the investigators adequately accounted for the influence of potential confounders? | Choose an item. |
| **3** | **Sampling issues:** Will the proposed study group be large enough to provide sufficient statistical precision or power, where appropriate? Is there a reasonable justification for the proposed sample size? Will the sample collected be reasonable representative of the population in question? | Choose an item. |
| **4** | **Feasibility:** Is there sufficient evidence to indicate that it will be possible to obtain the numbers required for the study? Is the study feasible in terms of funding support, the research team’s capability and experience to conduct the research as proposed, time and other resources? | Choose an item. |
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| **8** | **Outcome measures:** are these appropriate and achievable? Are definitions sufficiently detailed? Is the relevant data being collected on the proposed outcomes? | Choose an item. |
| **9** | **Data collection:** are the proposed data collection tools and data management systems appropriate for the project? | Choose an item. |
| **10** | **Analysis:** Is there an adequate indication of what analysis will be done on outcome measure to answer the research question? Are the proposed analyses appropriate? | Choose an item. |
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